arXiv:1207.6543v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 27 Jul 2012

Magnetic translation algebra with or without magnetic field

Claudio Chamon[1] and Christopher Mudry[2]

[1] *Physics Department, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA*
[2] *Condensed Matter Theory Group, Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland*

(Dated: July 30, 2012)

The magnetic translation algebra plays an important role in the quantum Hall effect. Murthy and Shankar have shown how to realize this algebra using fermionic bilinears defined on a two-dimensional square lattice. We show that, in any dimension d, it is always possible to close the magnetic translation algebra using fermionic bilinears, be it in the continuum or on the lattice. We also show that these generators are complete in even, but not odd, dimensions, in the sense that any fermionic Hamiltonian in even dimensions that conserves particle number can be represented in terms of the generators of this algebra, whether or not time-reversal symmetry is broken. As an example, we reproduce the f-sum rule of interacting electrons at vanishing magnetic field using this representation. We also show that interactions can significantly change the bare band width of lattice Hamiltonians when represented in terms of the generators of the magnetic translation algebra.

I. INTRODUCTION

The two coordinates of an electron in the plane orthogonal to an uniform magnetic field $B\,\boldsymbol{e}$ pointing along the direction $\boldsymbol{e}$ do not commute. There follows the $U(1)$ algebra

$$[t(\boldsymbol{a}),t(\boldsymbol{b})]=\mathrm{i}\,\sin\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{a}\wedge\boldsymbol{b})\cdot\boldsymbol{e}}{2}\right)t(\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b}) \tag{1.1}$$

obeyed by the triplet of generators $t(\boldsymbol{a})$, $t(\boldsymbol{b})$, and $t(\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b})$ for any pair $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ of vectors orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{e}$, which is called the magnetic translation algebra in this context.[1] The magnetic translation algebra can be used to derive the transverse conductivity of the integer quantum Hall effect (IQHE). It has also been used by Girvin, MacDonald, and Platzman in Ref. 2 to place a variational estimate on the excitation gap for the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE), following closely the approach of Feynman and Bijl in their study of excitations in ^{4}He.[3]

Hamiltonians defined on two-dimensional lattices with topologically non-trivial bands can also display quantum Hall physics. The IQHE can occur in band insulators when the Bloch bands have a nonvanishing Chern number, as shown by Haldane.[4] The FQHE effect requires strong electronic correlations. This is possible if the Chern bands are sufficiently narrow (or even flat).[5–7] Whether flat Chern bands can sustain or not a FQHE is a matter of energetics. Exact diagonalization studies of fractionally filled Chern bands with added short-range interactions are consistent with a correlated liquid ground state supporting a FQHE for certain filling fractions.[7–20] Such topological correlated states on the lattice are now known as fractional Chern insulators (FCI).

In an effort to draw a bridge between the case when the FQHE is realized in the continuum or in a FCI, Parameswaran, Roy, and Sondhi in Ref. 21 have pioneered an algebraic approach to FCIs by deriving the algebra obeyed by the density operators projected to the partially filled band.[11,22–24] They found that the algebra (1.1) emerges to leading order in a gradient expansion. Remarkably, Murthy and Shankar have (i) constructed in Ref. 25 a coherent superposition of the projected density operator that closes the $U(1)$ algebra (1.1) on the square lattice and (ii) represented any Hamiltonian that commutes with the number operator and describes the competition between the electronic hopping and the electronic interaction in terms of these generators.

In this paper, we are going to generalize the results by Murthy and Shankar as follows. We shall represent the $U(1)$ algebra (1.1) in terms of coherent superpositions of electron-hole pairs in arbitrary dimensions both in the continuum and for Bravais lattices. We shall then show that these generators provide a complete basis for the linear space of operators spanned by charge neutral fermion bilinears provided the Bravais lattice, or its embedding space in the continuum limit, is even dimensional. For odd dimensions, the generators of the $U(1)$ algebra (1.1) form an incomplete basis of the space of operators spanned by charge neutral fermion bilinears.

We treat the case of Hamiltonians acting on wavefunctions supported in the continuum in Sec. II. We then turn our attention to Hamiltonians acting on wavefunctions supported on Bravais lattices in Sec. III. We verify that the f-sum rule is obeyed if one represents the electronic density operator in terms the particle-hole generators of the algebra (1.1) in Sec. IV A. In Sec. IV B, we explain how, when represented in terms of these generators of the algebra (1.1), interactions induce one-body terms that can significantly change the bare band width of lattice Hamiltonians. The same effect in the FQHE requires the addition of a strong one-body perturbation to a Landau band, one that is of the order of the FQHE gap. Thus, whereas the FQH is a strong coupling problem, the FCI in a flat band is more like a problem at intermediate coupling. This result explains why in Ref. 18 an FCI with Chern number two was more stable if the bare dispersion was not flat rather than flat, for the bare and induced one-body terms can conspire to neutralize each other.

II. THE CASE OF THE CONTINUUM

We define the fermionic Fock space $\mathfrak{F}$ with the help of the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{\hat{c}(\boldsymbol{k}), \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}')\right\} &= \delta(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}'), \\ \left\{\hat{c}(\boldsymbol{k}), \hat{c}(\boldsymbol{k}')\right\} &= \left\{\hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}), \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}')\right\} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for any pair of momenta $\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}' \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Without loss of generality, we ignore any internal degrees of freedom such as the spin quantum numbers since we are after the $U(1)$ algebra (1.1).

The linear space of fermionic bilinears that we study is spanned by the basis

$$\hat{T}(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \boldsymbol{q}_2) := \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}_1)\, \hat{c}(\boldsymbol{q}_2) \tag{2.2a}$$

that obeys the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{T}(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \boldsymbol{q}_2), \hat{T}(\boldsymbol{q}_1', \boldsymbol{q}_2')\right] =& \,\delta(\boldsymbol{q}_2 - \boldsymbol{q}_1')\, \hat{T}(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \boldsymbol{q}_2') \\ & - \delta(\boldsymbol{q}_1 - \boldsymbol{q}_2')\, \hat{T}(\boldsymbol{q}_1', \boldsymbol{q}_2) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2b}$$

for any quadruple $\boldsymbol{q}_1$, $\boldsymbol{q}_2$, $\boldsymbol{q}_1'$, and $\boldsymbol{q}_2'$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$.

For any momentum $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for any function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define the coherent superposition

$$\hat{\varrho}^{f}(\boldsymbol{q}) := \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} f(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p})\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p})\, \hat{c}(\boldsymbol{p}). \tag{2.3a}$$

There follows the algebra

$$\left[\hat{\varrho}^{f}(\boldsymbol{q}), \hat{\varrho}^{f'}(\boldsymbol{q}')\right] = \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} \left[f(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{p})\, f'(\boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p}) - (\boldsymbol{q} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{q}' \text{ and } f \leftrightarrow f')\right] \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{p})\, \hat{c}\,(\boldsymbol{p}) \tag{2.3b}$$

for any pair of momenta $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}'$ and for any pair of functions f and f'.

The choice $f(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}) = 1$ for any pair of momenta $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$ defines the momentum representation of the local density operator

$$\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}) := \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p})\, \hat{c}(\boldsymbol{p}). \tag{2.4a}$$

Any pair thereof commutes

$$[\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}), \hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}')] = 0. \tag{2.4b}$$

Another choice of the function f is made with the family

$$\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}; \boldsymbol{G}) := \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\Phi(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{G})}\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p})\, \hat{c}\,(\boldsymbol{p}) \tag{2.5a}$$

for any pair $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$ where

$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{G}) := (\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{G}) * \boldsymbol{p} - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{q} * \boldsymbol{G} \tag{2.5b}$$

and the $*$-product

$$\boldsymbol{a} * \boldsymbol{b} = -\boldsymbol{b} * \boldsymbol{a} \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_i\, M^{(*)}_{ij}\, a_j \tag{2.5c}$$

defines a real antisymmetric bilinear form specified by the real-valued $d \times d$ antisymmetric matrix $M^{(*)}$. When d is even, we assume that $M^{(*)}$ is invertible. When d is odd, $M^{(*)}$ has at least one vanishing eigenvalue and is thus not invertible. Observe that

$$\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}; -\boldsymbol{q}). \tag{2.6}$$

We are going to prove that

1. The family $\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}; \boldsymbol{G})$ labeled by the pair $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$ obeys the $U(1)$ algebra (1.1).
2. In even-dimensional space, the family $\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}; \boldsymbol{G})$ labeled by the pair $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$ is complete.

Proof of closure: We define

$$\Gamma(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G}') := \Phi(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{G}) + \Phi(\boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{G}') - \Phi(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{G}+\boldsymbol{G}') \tag{2.7a}$$

in terms of which Eq. (2.3b) can be rewritten as

$$[\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}; \boldsymbol{G}), \hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}'; \boldsymbol{G}')] = \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} \left[e^{\mathrm{i}\,\Gamma(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G}')} - (\boldsymbol{q} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{q}' \text{ and } \boldsymbol{G} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}')\right] e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\Phi(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{G}+\boldsymbol{G}')}\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{p})\, \hat{c}(\boldsymbol{p}). \tag{2.7b}$$

Since

$$\Gamma(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{q}',\boldsymbol{p};\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}') = \left(\boldsymbol{q}+\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{G}\right) * \left(\boldsymbol{q}'+\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{G}'\right) - \frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{G}' \equiv \Upsilon(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}') \tag{2.8a}$$

is independent of $\boldsymbol{p}$ and antisymmetric under $\boldsymbol{q}\leftrightarrow\boldsymbol{q}'$ and $\boldsymbol{G}\leftrightarrow\boldsymbol{G}'$,

$$\Upsilon(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}') = -\Upsilon(\boldsymbol{q}',\boldsymbol{q};\boldsymbol{G}',\boldsymbol{G}), \tag{2.8b}$$

the algebra (2.7b) closes to

$$[\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q};\boldsymbol{G}),\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G}')] = F(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}')\,\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G}+\boldsymbol{G}') \tag{2.9a}$$

with the structure constant

$$F(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}') = e^{\mathrm{i}\,\Upsilon(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}')} - (\boldsymbol{q}\leftrightarrow\boldsymbol{q}' \text{ and } \boldsymbol{G}\leftrightarrow\boldsymbol{G}') = 2\mathrm{i}\,\sin\,\Upsilon(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}'). \tag{2.9b}$$

Proof of completeness: Choose any function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ such that the Fourier transform

$$f(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}) =: \bar{f}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p})\,e^{\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{p}} =: \left(\int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}}\,\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{G})\right) e^{\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{p}} \tag{2.10}$$

is well defined. For the second equality to be true for arbitrary functions $\bar{f}(\boldsymbol{q},\cdot):\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ with the well-defined Fourier transform $\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{q},\cdot):\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$, the square matrix $M^{(*)}$ that defines the $*$-product must be invertible and thus have an even number d of rows (columns). Indeed, the rank of an antisymmetric matrix $M^{(*)}$ is necessarily even. Hence, in odd dimensional space, $M^{(*)}$ is never invertible as it has at least one vanishing eigenvalue. This means that the $*$ Fourier transform $\int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}}\,\tilde{h}(\boldsymbol{G})$ is at best a function of $d-1$ coordinates of $\boldsymbol{p}$ if d is odd. For completeness to hold, it is thus necessary that d be even, which we now assume. A sufficient condition for completeness to hold is that the linear space spanned by the operators (2.2a) is limited to the coherent superpositions of the form (2.3a) such that the function $\bar{f}(\boldsymbol{q},\cdot):\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ has a Fourier transform for any given momentum $\boldsymbol{q}$. With the help of Eq. (2.5b), we can then write

$$f(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}) = \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} \tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{G})\,e^{\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{G}/2}\,e^{\mathrm{i}\Phi(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p};\boldsymbol{G})}. \tag{2.11}$$

In turn and with the help of Eq. (2.3a), we conclude with

$$\hat{\varrho}^{f}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} f(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p})\,\hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p})\,\hat{c}\,(\boldsymbol{p}) = \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} \tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{G})e^{\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{G}/2} \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} e^{\mathrm{i}\Phi(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p};\boldsymbol{G})}\,\hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p})\,\hat{c}\,(\boldsymbol{p}) = \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} \tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{G})\,e^{\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{G}/2}\,\hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}}(\boldsymbol{q}). \tag{2.12}$$

III. THE CASE OF THE LATTICE

We begin with some notation. Let Λ be a Bravais lattice and $\Lambda^{\star}$ its dual. Sites in Λ are denoted by $\boldsymbol{r}$, sites in $\Lambda^{\star}$ by $\boldsymbol{G}$. The first Brillouin zone is denoted Ω_{BZ}, it contains the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$. We shall decompose $\mathbb{R}^d$ into a set of shifted Brillouin zones $\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}^{\boldsymbol{G}}$ obtained by translation of Ω_{BZ} by $\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^{\star}$,

$$\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^{\star}} \Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}^{\boldsymbol{G}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Sites in Ω_{BZ} are denoted $\boldsymbol{k}$, $\boldsymbol{q}$, and $\boldsymbol{p}$. If $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ belong to the Brillouin zone Ω_{BZ}, this might not be the case for $\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}$. There is a unique $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}}\in\Lambda^{\star}$ such that $\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}^{\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}}}$. Correspondingly, $\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}$. We shall use the notation

$$[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}} \equiv \boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Observe that if we hold $\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}$ fixed and vary $\boldsymbol{p}$ across the Brillouin zone Ω_{BZ}, the unique reciprocal wave vector $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}}\in\Lambda^{\star}$ such that $\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}$ defines an implicit function of $\boldsymbol{q}$ that is piecewise constant with discontinuous jumps each time $\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}$ crosses the boundary separating neighboring Brillouin zones. We define the fermionic Fock space $\mathfrak{F}$ with the help of the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{G}},\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}'+\boldsymbol{G}'}\right\} &= \delta_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}'}, \\ \left\{\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{G}},\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}'+\boldsymbol{G}'}\right\} &= \left\{\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{G}},\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}'+\boldsymbol{G}'}\right\} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for any pair $\boldsymbol{k}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}'$ from the Brillouin zone Ω_{BZ} and any pair $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}'$ from the dual lattice $\Lambda^\star$.

The linear space of fermionic bilinears that we study is spanned by the basis

$$\hat{T}_{\boldsymbol{q}_1,\boldsymbol{q}_2} := \hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{q}_1}\,\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{q}_2} \tag{3.4a}$$

that obeys the algebra

$$\left[\hat{T}_{\boldsymbol{q}_1,\boldsymbol{q}_2},\hat{T}_{\boldsymbol{q}'_1,\boldsymbol{q}'_2}\right] = \delta_{\boldsymbol{q}_2,\boldsymbol{q}'_1}\,\hat{T}_{\boldsymbol{q}_1,\boldsymbol{q}'_2} - \delta_{\boldsymbol{q}_1,\boldsymbol{q}'_2}\,\hat{T}_{\boldsymbol{q}'_1,\boldsymbol{q}_2} \tag{3.4b}$$

for any quadruple $\boldsymbol{q}_1$, $\boldsymbol{q}_2$, $\boldsymbol{q}'_1$, and $\boldsymbol{q}'_2$ from the Brillouin zone.

For any $\boldsymbol{q}$ from the Brillouin zone Ω_{BZ} and for any function $f:\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}\times\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$, define

$$\hat{\varrho}^{f}_{\boldsymbol{q}} := \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} f_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}\,\hat{c}^\dagger_{[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\,\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p}}. \tag{3.5}$$

There follows the algebra

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\hat{\varrho}^{f}_{\boldsymbol{q}},\hat{\varrho}^{f'}_{\boldsymbol{q}'}\right] =& \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\left[f_{\boldsymbol{q},[\boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\,f'_{\boldsymbol{q}',\boldsymbol{p}} - (\boldsymbol{q},f\leftrightarrow\boldsymbol{q}',f')\right]\\ &\times\hat{c}^\dagger_{[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\,\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for any pair of momenta $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}'$ from the Brillouin zone and for any pair of functions f and f'.

The choice $f_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}=1$ for any pair $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ from the Brillouin zone defines the momentum representation of the local density operator

$$\hat{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{q}} := \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\hat{c}^\dagger_{[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\,\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p}}. \tag{3.7a}$$

Any pair thereof commutes

$$\left[\hat{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{q}},\hat{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{q}'}\right]=0. \tag{3.7b}$$

Another choice of the function f is made with the family

$$\hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}} := \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\Phi^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}}\,\hat{c}^\dagger_{[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\,\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p}} \tag{3.8a}$$

for any $\boldsymbol{G}$ from the dual lattice and $\boldsymbol{q}$ from the Brillouin zone where

$$\Phi^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} := \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{G})*\boldsymbol{p} - (\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{G})*\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{G}}\right] \tag{3.8b}$$

and the $d\times d$ matrix $M^{(*)}_{\Lambda}$ that defines the $*$-product is antisymmetric, as was the case in the continuum, but with the restriction that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{G}' = 0 \bmod 2\pi, \qquad \forall\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}'\in\Lambda^\star, \tag{3.9}$$

to accommodate the d-dimensional Bravais lattice Λ. When d is even, $M^{(*)}_{\Lambda}$ has a nonvanishing determinant by assumption. As advertised, the $U(1)$ algebra

$$\left[\hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}},\hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}'}_{\boldsymbol{q}'}\right] = 2\mathrm{i}\,\sin\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{G})*(\boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{G}')}{2\pi}\right)\,\hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}+\boldsymbol{G}'}_{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{q}'} \tag{3.10}$$

follows for any quadruple $\boldsymbol{q}$, $\boldsymbol{q}'$, $\boldsymbol{G}$, and $\boldsymbol{G}'$.

To prove completeness, we assume that the dimensionality d is even for the same reasons as given below Eq. (2.5c). One verifies that

$$\Phi^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} = \Theta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} + \frac{\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G}}{2\pi} + \Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}} + \bmod 2\pi \tag{3.11a}$$

where the function

$$2\pi\,\Theta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} := \boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{p} - (\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p})*\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}} \tag{3.11b}$$

is independent of $\boldsymbol{G}$, while the function

$$2\pi\,\Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}} := -\boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{G} \tag{3.11c}$$

is independent of $\boldsymbol{p}$. We will use the fact that

$$\Theta_{\boldsymbol{q}=\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{p}} = \Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}=\boldsymbol{0}} = 0 \tag{3.12}$$

in Sec. IV B and Appendix A. We define the function $\bar{f}:\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}\times\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} =: \bar{f}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}\,e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\Theta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}}. \tag{3.13}$$

We then use the Fourier expansion

$$\bar{f}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} =: \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^\star}\tilde{f}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\,e^{+\mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G})/(2\pi)} \tag{3.14}$$

to do the following manipulations

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} &= e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\Theta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}}\,\bar{f}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}\\ &= e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\Theta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}}\left[\sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^\star}\tilde{f}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}e^{+\mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G})/(2\pi)}\right]\\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^\star}\tilde{f}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\Theta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}+\mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G})/(2\pi)+\mathrm{i}\,\Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}-\mathrm{i}\,\Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}}\\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^\star}\underbrace{\tilde{f}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}}}_{\text{independent of }\boldsymbol{p}}\times e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\Phi^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Insertion of Eq. (3.15) into Eq. (3.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\varrho}^{f}_{\boldsymbol{q}} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^\star}\tilde{f}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}}\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\Phi^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}}\,\hat{c}^\dagger_{[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\,\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right)\\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^\star}\tilde{f}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}}\,\hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where we made use of the definition (3.8a) to reach the last equality. Completeness has thus been proved if the space of functions f is restricted to those for which the Fourier transform (3.14) exists.

IV. DISCUSSION

A pointed out by Murthy and Shankar, the magnetic translation algebra is not limited to situations in which time-reversal symmetry is broken. From the point of view of many-body physics, the generators of the magnetic translation algebra can also be thought of as special coherent superpositions of particle-hole excitations above the many-body ground state. As such they are always present in the many-body Fock space.

If time-reversal symmetry is either explicitly or spontaneously broken, it is plausible that these excitations might be selected by the many-body interactions to play an important role at low energies and long distances. However, the breaking of time-reversal symmetry alone is no guarantee for the FQHE. The selection of a ground state supporting the FQHE is a subtle compromise between the kinetic energy and the interactions.

If time-reversal symmetry is neither explicitly nor spontaneously broken, it is harder to imagine that these excitations are of relevance to the low-energy and long-distance properties of interacting electrons.

We illustrate both observations as follows. We begin with the case of interacting electrons in the continuum limit without explicit breaking of time-reversal symmetry and for which spontaneous symmetry breaking of time-reversal symmetry is not anticipated. This situation is the one expected if electrons interact through density-density interactions. We are going to show how to recover the f-sum rule when we choose to represent the many-body Hamiltonian in terms of the generators (2.5) of the magnetic translation algebra for any even dimension d of space. This exercise serves as a warning for blindly performing a mean-field approximation of the Hamiltonian when represented in terms of the generators (2.5) that delivers the FQHE.

To illustrate the delicate competition between the kinetic energy and the interactions, we consider a band insulator to which we add density-density interactions. We represent this Hamiltonian in terms of the generators (3.8) for any even dimension d of the Bravais lattice. In doing so, we are going to show that normal ordering can change the bare band width by a value comparable to the characteristic energy for the interactions. Hence, if the bare band width is smaller than the characteristic energy for the interactions, as is usually believed to be necessary to stabilize a FCI, normal ordering is then an effect of order one!

A. f-sum rule

The f-sum rule holds for electrons with the mass m and the quadratic dispersion

$$\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{p}) := \frac{\boldsymbol{p}^2}{2m} \tag{4.1a}$$

subjected to any one-body potential V and interacting with any translation-invariant density-density interaction U in any dimension d. Pines and Nozières presented a derivation thereof in Ref. 26 that hinges on the fact that the operator identity

$$\Big[\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}),[\hat{H},\hat{\rho}(-\boldsymbol{q})]\Big] = \frac{\boldsymbol{q}^2}{m}\,\hat{N} \tag{4.1b}$$

holds for any momentum $\boldsymbol{q}\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Here,

$$\hat{N} := \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} \hat{c}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{p})\,\hat{c}(\boldsymbol{p}) \tag{4.1c}$$

is the conserved particle number operator, and the many-body Hamiltonian $\hat{H} := \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_V + \hat{H}_U$ is the sum of the dispersion

$$\hat{H}_0 := \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{p})\,\hat{c}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{p})\,\hat{c}(\boldsymbol{p}), \tag{4.1d}$$

the one-body potential

$$\hat{H}_V := \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{q}} V(\boldsymbol{q})\,\hat{\rho}(-\boldsymbol{q}), \tag{4.1e}$$

and the two-body potential

$$\hat{H}_U := \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{q}} V(\boldsymbol{q})\;\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q})\,\hat{\rho}(-\boldsymbol{q}). \tag{4.1f}$$

The only nonvanishing contribution to the nested commutator in Eq. (4.1b) arises from the quadratic dispersion $\hat{H}_0$ in view of the Abelian algebra (2.4b). Equation (4.1b) follows from the algebra (2.1).

As a sanity check, we are going to verify Eq. (4.1b) for any even dimension d with the help of the magnetic translation algebra

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q};\boldsymbol{G}),\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G}')] = {}& 2\mathrm{i}\,\sin\Upsilon(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}')\\ &\times\,\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G}+\boldsymbol{G}')\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\Upsilon(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{q}';\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}')$ is defined in Eq. (2.8). We shall only evaluate the contribution from the quadratic dispersion (4.1d).

First, we recall that $\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q};-\boldsymbol{q})$ according to Eq. (2.6). Second, we expand $\hat{H}_0$ in terms of the magnetic translation densities $\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q};\boldsymbol{G})$,

$$\hat{H}_0 \;=\; \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} \tilde{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{G})\;\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q}=0;\boldsymbol{G}) \tag{4.3a}$$

where

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{G}) \;=\; \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}}\,\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{p}). \tag{4.3b}$$

It is with Eq. (4.3b) that we made use of d being even.

Third, we make a first use of Eq. (4.2) to evaluate the internal commutator

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}),[\hat{H},\hat{\rho}(-\boldsymbol{q})]\Big] &= \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} \tilde{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{G})\, \Big[\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q};-\boldsymbol{q}),[\hat{\varrho}(0;\boldsymbol{G}),\hat{\varrho}(-\boldsymbol{q};\boldsymbol{q})]\Big] \\ &= \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} \tilde{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{G})\; 2\mathrm{i}\,\sin\,\Upsilon(0,-\boldsymbol{q};\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{q})\; [\hat{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{q};-\boldsymbol{q}),\hat{\varrho}(-\boldsymbol{q};\boldsymbol{G}+\boldsymbol{q})]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We make a second use of Eq. (4.2) to evaluate the external commutator

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}),[\hat{H},\hat{\rho}(-\boldsymbol{q})]\Big] &= \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} \tilde{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{G})\; 2\mathrm{i}\,\sin\,\Upsilon(0,-\boldsymbol{q};\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{q})\; 2\mathrm{i}\,\sin\,\Upsilon(\boldsymbol{q},-\boldsymbol{q};-\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{G}+\boldsymbol{q})\; \hat{\varrho}(0;\boldsymbol{G}) \\ &= \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} \tilde{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{G})\; \left[2\mathrm{i}\,\sin\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{G}}{2}\right)\right]^2\; \hat{\varrho}(0;\boldsymbol{G}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

The integral over $\boldsymbol{G}$ can now be performed,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}),[\hat{H},\hat{\rho}(-\boldsymbol{q})]\Big] &= \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{G}} \tilde{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{G})\; \left(e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{G}}+e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{q}*\boldsymbol{G}}-2\right) \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}}\;\hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{p})\,\hat{c}(\boldsymbol{p}) \\ &= \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} \frac{1}{2m}\left(|\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{q}|^2+|\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}|^2-2|\boldsymbol{p}|^2\right)\; \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{p})\,\hat{c}(\boldsymbol{p}) \\ &= \frac{\boldsymbol{q}^2}{m}\int\limits_{\boldsymbol{p}} \hat{c}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{p})\,\hat{c}(\boldsymbol{p}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Equation (4.1b) follows from the definition (4.1c).

B. Projected Hamiltonians and the importance of induced one-body terms

We begin with the generic lattice Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} := \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_U \tag{4.7}$$

whereby the dimensionality d of the lattice is assumed even. Our goal is to understand how does normal ordering of the interaction $\hat{H}_U$ changes the band width of the kinetic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$. To this end, we need to choose the representation in which we define $\hat{H}_0$ and $\hat{H}_U$. We will see that the choice of the representation of $\hat{H}$ can change the effects on $\hat{H}_0$ of normal ordering on $\hat{H}_U$.

The kinetic Hamiltonian is defined by

$$\hat{H}_0 := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}'\in\Lambda}\sum_{\alpha,\alpha'}\left(\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha}\, t^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}'}\, \hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{r}',\alpha'} + \mathrm{H.c.}\right) \tag{4.8a}$$

where the hopping amplitudes

$$t^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}'} = \left(t^{\alpha',\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{r}'-\boldsymbol{r}}\right)^{*} \tag{4.8b}$$

decay exponentially fast with the separation between any pair of sites $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{r}'$ from the lattice Λ and we have reinstated a finite number of internal degrees of freedom labeled by the *orbital index* α. If N denotes the number of sites in Λ, we can perform the Fourier transformation to the band basis in two steps. First, we do the Fourier transformation

$$\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha} =: \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\frac{e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}}{\sqrt{N}}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p},\alpha}, \quad \hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha} =: \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\frac{e^{+\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}}{\sqrt{N}}\hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{p},\alpha}, \tag{4.9a}$$

in terms of which

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_0 &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\sum_{\alpha,\alpha'}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p},\alpha}\,\mathcal{H}^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\,\hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{p},\alpha'}, \\ \mathcal{H}^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{p}} &:= \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}\in\Lambda} e^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}\, t^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{r}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9b}$$

Second, for any given $\boldsymbol{p}$ from the Brillouin zone, we do the unitary transformation

$$\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p},\alpha} =: \sum_{a}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p},a}u^{\alpha\,*}_{\boldsymbol{p},a}, \qquad \hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{p},\alpha} =: \sum_{a}u^{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{p},a}\,\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p},a}, \tag{4.10a}$$

in terms of which

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\sum_{a}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p},a}\,\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{p},a}\,\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p},a}. \tag{4.10b}$$

The algebra (3.3) applies to the band operators labeled by the *band index* a if one multiplies the Kroenecker symbol $\delta_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}'}$ in the Brillouin zone by the Kroenecker symbol

$\delta_{a,a'}$ among the bands. The algebra (3.3) thus endows the orbital creation and annihilation operators with the canonical fermion algebra.

The interacting Hamiltonian is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_U &:= \sum_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}'\in\Lambda}\sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} \hat{\rho}^{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha}\, U^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}'}\, \hat{\rho}^{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{r}',\alpha'} \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} \hat{\rho}^{\psi}_{+\boldsymbol{q},\alpha}\, \tilde{U}^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, \hat{\rho}^{\psi}_{-\boldsymbol{q},\alpha'}\end{aligned} \tag{4.11a}$$

with

$$\hat{\rho}^{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha} := \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha}\, \hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha} \tag{4.11b}$$

the local density at the site $\boldsymbol{r}\in\Lambda$ and for the orbital α. The corresponding Fourier transforms are

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\rho}^{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{q},\alpha} &:= \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\alpha}\, \hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{p},\alpha}, \\ \tilde{U}^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{q}} &:= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\boldsymbol{r}\in\Lambda} e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}\, U^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{r}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.11c}$$

For simplicity, we shall focus on orbital independent (density-density) interactions, in which case

$$U^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{r}} = U_{\boldsymbol{r}}, \quad \forall\alpha,\alpha'\ . \tag{4.12}$$

Normal ordering is the operation by which all creation operators are to be moved to the left of the annihilation operators. In the orbital basis, normal ordering results in

$$\hat{H}_U = H'^{\psi}_U + \hat{H}''^{\psi}_U\ . \tag{4.13a}$$

The one-body Hamiltonian H'^{ψ}_U, a consequence of the fermion algebra, is proportional to the conserved number operator,

$$H'^{\psi}_U := \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}\in\Lambda}\sum_{\alpha} U_{\boldsymbol{0}}\, \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha}\, \hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha} \equiv U\,\hat{N}, \tag{4.13b}$$

where we defined $U \equiv U_{\boldsymbol{r}=\boldsymbol{0}}$. The normal-ordered interaction $\hat{H}''^{\psi}_U$ is

$$\hat{H}''^{\psi}_U \equiv \sum_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}'\in\Lambda}\sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} U_{\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}'}\, \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha}\, \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{r}',\alpha'}\, \hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{r}',\alpha'}\, \hat{\psi}_{\boldsymbol{r},\alpha}. \tag{4.13c}$$

The one-body term induced by normal ordering is, in the band basis,

$$H'^{c}_U := U \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\sum_{a} \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p},a}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p},a} \equiv U\,\hat{N}. \tag{4.14a}$$

The normal-ordered interaction is, in the band basis,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}''^{c}_U &:= \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}'\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\sum_{a}\sum_{b}\sum_{a'}\sum_{b'} V^{a,b;a',b'}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}'}\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{[+\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},a}\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}']_{\mathrm{BZ}},a'}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p}',b'}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p},b}, \\ V^{a,b;a',b'}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}'} &:= \tilde{U}_{\boldsymbol{q}} \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} u^{\alpha\,*}_{[+\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},a}\, u^{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{p},b}\, u^{\alpha'\,*}_{[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}']_{\mathrm{BZ}},a'}\, u^{\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{p}',b'}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14b}$$

In any subspace of the Fock space with a fixed number of particles, normal ordering thus produces a rigid shift of all single-particle energy eigenvalues of $\hat{H}_0$. For any band a, the width of the single-particle dispersion ε_a is not affected by the normal ordering $-U\,\hat{N}$. We are going to show that this needs not be true anymore if we *first* project Hamiltonian (4.7) onto the band $\bar{a}$ and *then* express the resulting projected Hamiltonian in terms of the generators (3.8).

The projection of Hamiltonian (4.7) onto the band $\bar{a}$ is

$$\hat{H}^{\bar{a}} = \hat{H}^{\bar{a}}_0 + \hat{H}^{\bar{a}}_U \tag{4.15a}$$

where the projected kinetic Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}^{\bar{a}}_0 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}\, \left(\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}} + U\right) \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}} \tag{4.15b}$$

while the projected interacting Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}^{\bar{a}}_{U} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}'\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} V^{\bar{a}}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}'}\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{[+\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}']_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p}',\bar{a}}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}, \\
V^{\bar{a}}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}'} &= \tilde{U}_{\boldsymbol{q}} \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} u^{\alpha\,*}_{[+\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, u^{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}\, u^{\alpha'\,*}_{[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}']_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, u^{\alpha'}_{\boldsymbol{p}',\bar{a}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.15c}
$$

For the purpose of representing the projection of Hamiltonian (4.7) onto the band $\bar{a}$ by the magnetic density operators (3.8), it is necessary to undo the normal ordering in Eq. (4.15c). In doing so, a second one-body term is produced,

$$
\hat{H}^{\bar{a}} = \hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{0} + \hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{U} \tag{4.16a}
$$

where the projected kinetic Hamiltonian is

$$
\hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{0} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \big(\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}} + U\big)\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}} - \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} V^{\bar{a}}_{\boldsymbol{q},[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\boldsymbol{p}} \right) \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}, \tag{4.16b}
$$

while the projected interacting Hamiltonian is

$$
\hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{U} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}'\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} V^{\bar{a}}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}'}\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{[+\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}']_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{p}',\bar{a}}. \tag{4.16c}
$$

Observe that had we first represented Eq. (4.11) in the band basis, followed by the projection consisting in restricting all the band indices to $\bar{a}$ prior to normal-ordering, then we would have obtained Eq. (4.16) upon normal ordering without the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.16b). The correct implementation of projection is to normal order first and then to project, leading to Eq. (4.16b). Indeed, the order by which normal ordering is followed by restricting all band indices to the projected ones corresponds to sandwiching the Hamiltonian by the projection operator onto a subset of bands. The reverse order by which the density operators is projected onto a subset of bands followed by normal ordering corresponds to sandwiching first all density operators by the projection operator onto a subset of bands and then assembling a Hamiltonian out of these projected density operators. As the projection operators do not commute with the density operators, the order in which the operations of normal ordering and projection are performed matters.

We can now express the Hamiltonian in terms of the magnetic density operators (the details are provided in Appendix A)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{0} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^{\star}} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{G}} + U\,\delta_{\boldsymbol{G},0} - \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}} \tilde{U}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, \tilde{h}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{-\boldsymbol{q}} \right) \hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{0}}, \\
\hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{U} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \tilde{U}_{\boldsymbol{q}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}'\in\Lambda^{\star}} \tilde{f}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, \tilde{f}^{-\boldsymbol{G}'}_{-\boldsymbol{q}}\, e^{-\mathrm{i}\,(\Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{+\boldsymbol{q}} + \Theta^{-\boldsymbol{G}'}_{-\boldsymbol{q}})}\, \hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, \hat{\varrho}^{-\boldsymbol{G}'}_{-\boldsymbol{q}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.17a}
$$

where

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{G}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{p}}\, e^{-\mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G})/(2\pi)}, \tag{4.17b}$$

$$\tilde{h}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} \left| \sum_{\alpha} u^{\alpha\,*}_{[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, u^{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}} \right|^{2} e^{-\mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G})/(2\pi)}, \tag{4.17c}$$

$$\tilde{f}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} \left(\sum_{\alpha} u^{\alpha\,*}_{[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, u^{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}} \right) e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\Theta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}}\, e^{-\mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G})/(2\pi)}, \tag{4.17d}$$

with $\Theta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}$ and $\Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ defined in Eqs. (3.11b) and (3.11c), respectively.

Equation (4.17) is the main result of Sec. IV B. Applied to a Chern insulator to which density-density interactions have been added, Eq. (4.17) suggests that there will always be linear in $\hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}=\boldsymbol{0}}$ contributions to the Hamiltonian even if the bare band is flat to begin with, i.e., even if $\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}} = 0$. Because of the topological attributes of the Bloch spinors as they wrap around the Brillouin zone, we expect a nonvanishing $\tilde{h}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$. (An extreme case of a topologically trivial band insulator has Bloch spinors that are constant across the Brillouin zone, in which case only $\tilde{h}^{\boldsymbol{G}=\boldsymbol{0}}_{\boldsymbol{q}} \neq 0$ and the additional one-body contribution is just proportional to the total particle number. This would also be the case in the context of the quantum Hall effect.) This effect on the bare dispersion is controlled by the bare interaction $U_{\boldsymbol{q}}$. Hence, it could be as large as the effects of the density-density interaction.

It is far from evident that a FCI is selected by the competition between the one-body and two body terms in Eq. (4.17) since they are both controlled by one characteristic energy scale in the limit of a flat bare band width. On the other hand, if a ground state supporting an FCI is selected for some range of parameters, then the effective quantum field theory describing the low-energy and long-distance properties of this phase should belong to one of the universality class associated to the FQHE.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by DOE Grant DEFG02-06ER46316 and by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Appendix A: Details for reaching Eq. (4.17)

Equipped with Eq. (4.16), we are in position to take advantage of the fact that, for any even dimension d, the magnetic density operators (3.8) form a complete basis for the charge neutral fermion bilinears made out of the band creation and annihilation operators. Define the functions $f^{i} : \Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}} \times \Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}} \to \mathbb{C}$, with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f^{1}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} &:= \delta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{0}}\, \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}, \\ f^{2}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} &:= \delta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{0}}\, U, \\ f^{3}_{\boldsymbol{q}',\boldsymbol{p}'} &:= \delta_{\boldsymbol{q}',\boldsymbol{0}}\, \delta_{\boldsymbol{p}',\boldsymbol{p}}, \\ f^{4}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} &:= u^{\alpha\,*}_{[\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, u^{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A1a}$$

(Notice that $\boldsymbol{p}$ is a parameter in the definition of f^{3}.) In terms of the operators defined in Eq. (3.5), the projected kinetic Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}^{\prime\bar{a}}_{0} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \left(\varrho^{f^{1}}_{\boldsymbol{q}} + \varrho^{f^{2}}_{\boldsymbol{q}} - \sum_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}'\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} V^{\bar{a}}_{\boldsymbol{q},[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\boldsymbol{p}}\, \varrho^{f^{3}}_{\boldsymbol{q}'} \right), \tag{A1b}$$

while the projected interacting Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}^{\prime\bar{a}}_{U} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \tilde{U}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, \varrho^{f^{4}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, \varrho^{f^{4}}_{-\boldsymbol{q}}. \tag{A1c}$$

The bare kinetic energy is the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (A1b). The correction to the bare kinetic energy from standard normal ordering is the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (A1b). The function f^{2} is a delta function with respect to its first argument and constant with respect to its second argument. This correction does not change the band width of the bare dispersion. The last correction to the bare kinetic energy is the third term on the right-hand side of Eq. (A1b). It is controlled by the interaction $\tilde{U}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ dressed by the Bloch functions that diagonalize the bare kinetic energy,

$$V^{\bar{a}}_{\boldsymbol{q},[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\boldsymbol{p}} = \tilde{U}_{\boldsymbol{q}} \left| \sum_{\alpha} u^{\alpha\,*}_{[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, u^{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}} \right|^{2}. \tag{A2}$$

It can change the bare band width by an amount of the order of the characteristic energy of the interaction.

At last, Eq. (3.13) dictates that we define the functions $\bar{f}^{i} : \Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}} \times \Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}} \to \mathbb{C}$, with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ by

$$\bar{f}^{i}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} := e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\Theta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}}\, f^{i}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} \tag{A3}$$

where the argument of the multiplicative exponential factor on the right-hand side was defined in Eq. (3.11c). We observe that any of the three functions f^1, f^2, and f^3 is proportional to $\delta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{0}}$ so that Eq. (3.12) teaches us that

$$\bar{f}^{i}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} := \delta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{0}}\, f^{i}_{\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{p}}, \qquad i=1,2,3. \tag{A4}$$

In even dimensional space, we can safely use the $*$-Fourier expansion [see Eq. (3.14)]

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}^{i}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} &= \delta_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{0}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^{\star}} \tilde{f}^{i;\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{0}}\, e^{+\mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G})/(2\pi)}, \quad i=1,2,3,\\
\bar{f}^{4}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^{\star}} \tilde{f}^{4;\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, e^{+\mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G})/(2\pi)},\\
\sum_{\alpha}\left| u^{\alpha\,*}_{[-\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}]_{\mathrm{BZ}},\bar{a}}\, u^{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{p},\bar{a}}\right|^{2} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^{\star}} \tilde{h}^{-\boldsymbol{G}}_{-\boldsymbol{q}}\, e^{+\mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{G}*\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}*\boldsymbol{G})/(2\pi)},
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

to compute the Fourier coefficients $\tilde{f}^{i;\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ with $i=1,2,3$, $\tilde{f}^{4;\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$, and $\tilde{h}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$. Application of Eq. (3.16) then delivers the desired representation of the projection of Hamiltonian (4.7) onto the band $\bar{a}$ by the magnetic density operators (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}^{\bar{a}} &= \hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{0} + \hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{U},\\
\hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{0} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\in\Lambda^{\star}} \left(\tilde{f}^{1;\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{0}} + \tilde{f}^{2;\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{0}} - \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}} U_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, \tilde{h}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{-\boldsymbol{q}} \right) \hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{0}},\\
\hat{H}'^{\bar{a}}_{U} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}\in\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G}'\in\Lambda^{\star}} \tilde{f}^{4;\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, \tilde{f}^{4;-\boldsymbol{G}'}_{-\boldsymbol{q}}\, e^{-\mathrm{i}\,(\Theta^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{+\boldsymbol{q}}+\Theta^{-\boldsymbol{G}'}_{-\boldsymbol{q}})}\, \hat{\varrho}^{\boldsymbol{G}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\, \hat{\varrho}^{-\boldsymbol{G}'}_{-\boldsymbol{q}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

Equation (4.17) follows.

---

[1] J. Zak Phys. Rev. 134, A1602 (1964); *ibid*, A1607 (1964).

[2] S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, and P. M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 581 (1985).

[3] R. P. Feynman, Statistical Mechanics (Benjamin, Reading, Mass., 1972), Chap. 11.

[4] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2015 (1988).

[5] E. Tang, J.-W. Mei, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 236802 (2011).

[6] K. Sun, Z. Gu, H. Katsura, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 236803 (2011).

[7] T. Neupert, L. Santos, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 236804 (2011).

[8] D. N. Sheng, Z. Gu, K. Sun, and L. Sheng, Nature Communications **2**, 389 (2011).

[9] Y.-F. Wang, Z.-C. Gu, C.-D. Gong, D. N. Sheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 146803 (2011).

[10] N. Regnault and B. A. Bernevig, Phys. Rev. X **1**, 021014 (2011).

[11] B. A. Bernevig and N. Regnault, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 075128 (2012).

[12] Jorn W. F. Venderbos, Stefanos Kourtis, Jeroen van den Brink, and Maria Daghofer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 126405 (2012).

[13] Y.-F. Wang, H. Yao, Z.-C. Gu, C.-D. Gong, D. N. Sheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 126805 (2012)

[14] Y.-L. Wu, B. A. Bernevig, N. Regnault, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 075116 (2012).

[15] T. Liu, C. Repellin, B. A. Bernevig, and N. Regnault, arXiv:1206.2626 (unpublished).

[16] Y.-F. Wang, H. Yao, C.-D. Gong, and D. N. Sheng, arXiv:1204.1697 (unpublished).

[17] Z. Liu, E. J. Bergholtz, H. Fan, and A. M. Lauchli, arXiv:1206.3759 (unpublished).

[18] Adolfo G. Grushin, Titus Neupert, Claudio Chamon, and Christopher Mudry, arXiv:1207.4097 (unpublished).

[19] A. M. Lauchli, Z. Liu, E.J. Bergholtz, and R. Moessner, arXiv:1207.6094 (unpublished).

[20] A. Sterdyniak, C. Repellin, B. Andrei Bernevig, and N. Regnault, arXiv:1207.6385 (unpublished).

[21] S. Parameswaran, R. Roy, and S. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 241308(R) (2012).

[22] M. O. Goerbig Eur. Phys. Journal B **85**, 14 (2012).

[23] T. Neupert, L. Santos, S. Ryu, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 035125 (2012).

[24] B. Estienne, N. Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig, arXiv:1202.5543.

[25] Ganpathy Murthy and R. Shankar, arXiv:1207.2133.

[26] David Pines and Philippe Nozières, The Theory of Quantum Liquids (Benjamin, New York, 1966).